S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (CAND Rev 1/10)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

CIVÎL COVER SHEET

the civil docket sheet. (SEE INST	<u>FRUCTIONS ON PAGE TV</u>	<u>WO OF THE FORM</u>	<u>(.)</u>								
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS										
Souheil Sawaya	Wells Fargo	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.									
				,·····							
(b) County of Posidence	of First Listed Blaintiff Sa	n Diego County	CA	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego County, CA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)							
(,		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE							
()				LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)							
Kevin J. McInerney, SBN 46941 (775) 849-3811				Lindbergh Porter, SBN 100091 (415) 433-1940							
McInerney & Jones (775) 849-3866 fax				Littler Mendelson P.C. (415) 399-8490 fax 650 California Street, 20th Floor							
18124 Wedge Parkway #503 Reno, NV 89511				San Francisco, CA 94108							
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				ITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)							
				(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF							
U.S. Government X 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			Ci	Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State							
2 U.S. Government 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Ci	itizen of Another State	2	2	Incorporated and Princ	•	☐ 5	☐ 5		
	•	•		:							
			L C1	itizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		☐ 6	<u> </u>	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	y)									
CONTRACT		ORTS		FORFEITURE/P	ENALTY		ANKRUPTCY	ОТН	ER STAT	UTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL IN		610 Agriculture	Den a		Appeal 28 USC 158 Vithdrawal	400 Sta		onment	
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Inj Med. Malpr		625 Drug Related			28 USC 157	410 An	ntrust iks and Ban	king	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Inj	ury —	of Property		ı <u></u>		450 Co	mmerce		
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Lial 368 Asbestos Pe		630 Liquor Laws	ć		PERTY RIGHTS	460 Dep	portation keteer Influ	enced and	
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Injury Produ		650 Airline Regs.		820 C	Copyrights		rupt Organi		
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability		660 Occupational			rademark	480 Coi		lit	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PRO		Safety/Healtl	1			810 Sel		ce	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Ler		LABOR		SOCI	IAL SECURITY	850 Sec	urities/Com		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Perso	nal	710 Fair Labor S	_		IIA (1395ff)	Ex 875 Cu	change stomer Chal	lenge	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	Property Da 385 Property Da		Act	amaius	862 E	Black Lung (923)	12	USC 3410	-	
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Lia		720 Labor/Mgmt			DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) SID Title XVI	890 Oth	ricultural Ac	Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL DICHTS	PRISONE	ER	730 Labor/Mgmt. & Disclosure			SI (405(g))	892 Ecc	onomic Stab	ilization Act	
	CIVIL RIGHTS	PETITIO		740 Railway Lab				893 Env			
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting	510 Motions to Sentence	Vac at e	790 Other Labor 791 Empl. Ret. Ir					edom of Inf		
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment 443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus	:	Security Act			RAL TAX SUITS	Ac			
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	530 General					axes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	900 Appeal of Fee Determination			
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penal		IMMIGRAT	TON		RS—Third Party	Un	der Equal A	ccess	
	Employment	550 Civil Rights		462 Naturalization		2	6 USC 7609	950 Co	Justice nstitutionali	ty of	
•	Uther 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	- 555 Prison Cond	lition	463 Habeas Corp					te Statutes	.,	
	440 Other Civil Rights			Alien Detain 465 Other Immig							
				Actions							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X"	" in One Box Only)			Trai	sferred fr	om		A	ppeal to D	District	
■ 1 Original 🔲 2 Remo			4 Reins		other distri	ict 🗀	☐ 6 MuItidistrict		ludge from		
Proceeding State		llate Court	Reop		ecify)		Litigation	J	Magistrate udgment		
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 29 U.S.C. Section 207											
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO											
Brief description of cause: FLSA and California Overtime Claim											
COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ■ No											
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY PLEASE REFER TO CIVIL L.B. 5-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE". Mevorah v. Wells Fargo; In re Wells Fargo HMC Overtime Lit., MDL 06-1770MHP											
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2) (PLACE AND "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) X SAN LY AC SCOPAKLAND SAN JOSE EUREKA											
DATE		GNATURE	FATTO	RIEV OF RECORD	And distance	A			_		
April 5, 2010		1	y '	1							
		1-	π								

1	Kevin J. McInerney, Esq. (46941) kevin@mcinerneylaw.net	E-filing FILED						
2	Kelly McInerney, Esq. (200017) kelly@mcinerneylaw.net	APR -6 D						
3	Charles A. Jones, Esq. (224915)	$n^{C_1} \mathcal{E}_{RK_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3 \cup R_4 \cup R$						
4	caj@mcinerneylaw.net McInerney & Jones							
5	18124 Wedge Parkway #503 Reno, NV 89511							
6	Telephone: (775) 849-3811 Facsimile: (775) 849-3866							
7	James F. Clapp, Esq. (145814)	k_{n} ,						
8	jclapp@sdlaw.com Marita Murphy Lauinger, Esq. (199242)	A						
9	mlauinger@sdlaw.com Zachariah P. Dostart, Esq. (255071)	·						
10	zdostart@sdlaw.com Dostart Clapp Gordon & Coveney, LLP							
11	4370 La Jolla Village Drive, Suite 970 San Diego, CA 92122							
12	Telephone: (858) 623-4200 Facsimile: (858) 623-4299							
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff	CV 10 1460						
14								
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
17	SOUHEIL SAWAYA,	Civil Case No.						
18	Plaintiff,	Filed as a Tag-Along Case to MDL No. 06-1770 Assigned to the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel						
19	v.							
20	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,	COMPLAINT FOR:						
21	Defendant.	1.) Violation of the Fair Labor						
22		Standards Act (29 U.S.C. §207); 2.) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194; and 3.) Violation of California Business and						
23								
24		Professions Code §17200, et seq.						
25								
26								
27								
28								
		COMPLAINT						

I. THE PARTIES

- 1. The Plaintiff, Souheil Sawaya, is and at all times relevant has been a resident and citizen of the State of California. From approximately 1997 through 2004, the Plaintiff was employed by the Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as a Home Mortgage Consultant ("HMC"). Plaintiff worked at the Defendant's offices located in Chula Vista, California and National City, California.
- 2. The Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. is a business entity incorporated in a state other than California and headquartered in San Francisco, California. The Defendant operates, as a separate division, an entity headquartered outside the State of California which sells and originates home mortgage loans. At all times relevant, the Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (hereinafter "Wells") employed the Plaintiff in the State of California as a Home Mortgage Consultant to originate and produce mortgage loans.
- 3. Since February 11, 2005, the Plaintiff was a putative class member in an action entitled *Mevorah v. Wells Fargo*, Case No. 3:05-cv-01175-MHP, which action subsequently became a part of MDL Coordination Proceeding No. M:06-cv-01770-MHP. Class certification in *Mevorah* was denied by the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel on January 13, 2010. Plaintiff asserts that during the pendency of the *Mevorah* action as a class suit, the statute of limitations was tolled.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The federal court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Title 28, U.S.C. Section 1331 because a violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (Title 29, U.S.C. Section 207) is alleged. The federal court also has jurisdiction under Title 28, U.S.C. Section 1332 because the

Plaintiff is a citizen of California and the Defendant is a citizen of a state other than California and the amount sought to be recovered by the Plaintiff exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

5. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California because this action is filed as a tag-along action to MDL No. M:06-cv-01770-MHP, *In re Wells Fargo Home Mortgage Consultant Overtime Litigation*. The Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel is the judge assigned to this MDL proceeding. Venue is also proper in the Northern District of California because the Defendant is headquartered in this district.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 6. The Plaintiff was employed by the Defendant as an HMC in California between approximately 1997 through 2004. During this period of time, the Plaintiff worked and was assigned to Wells' offices located in Chula Vista, California and National City, California.
- 7. While employed as an HMC, the Plaintiff was engaged in originating and producing home loans. His primary role was selling and this was performed from fixed locations.
- 8. The Defendant compensated the Plaintiff on a commission sales basis and did not monitor the hours or activities of the Plaintiff. The Defendant paid the Plaintiff based upon loans that actually closed and recorded. Defendant never paid the Plaintiff any overtime or premium pay despite the fact that the Plaintiff routinely worked in excess of eight hours per day and in excess of forty (40) hours per week. Rather, the Defendant classified the Plaintiff as overtime exempt.
- 9. The Plaintiff was, in fact, at all times relevant a non-exempt employee under both the Fair Labor Standards Act and the California Labor Code. Defendant's misclassification and failure to pay Plaintiff overtime was willful because Wells never monitored his actual work activities to determine whether he was an exempt worker.

10. The amount of overtime pay that the Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff is in excess of \$75,000 under the California Labor Code.

IV. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (Failure to Pay Overtime)

- 11. Plaintiff re-alleges the preceding paragraphs of this complaint and hereby incorporates them by reference.
- 12. The Defendant improperly and unlawfully treated the Plaintiff during his employment between approximately 1997 through 2004 as a worker exempt from the protection of the overtime laws and particularly the overtime protection provided by the Fair Labor Standards Act, Title 29, U.S.C. Section 207. The Defendant failed to pay the Plaintiff overtime wages as required and is therefore liable to him for all such sums including attorneys' fees and costs. Because the Defendant's failure was willful in that it made no effort to monitor the actual activities or hours worked, the Defendant is liable for liquidated damages or, alternatively, for interest on the unpaid overtime wages.

V. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA LABOR CODE §§510 AND 1194 (Failure to Pay Overtime)

- 13. Plaintiff re-alleges the preceding paragraphs of this complaint and hereby incorporates them by reference.
- 14. Defendant's misclassification of the Plaintiff as overtime exempt between 1997 and 2004 was unlawful because it was in violation of the California Labor Code §§510 and 1194. Defendant is therefore liable to the Plaintiff for overtime wages, interest thereon, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees.

VI. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES ACT, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §17200, ET SEQ.

- 15. Plaintiff re-alleges the preceding paragraphs of this complaint and hereby incorporates them by reference.
- 16. The Defendant's failure to pay overtime as mandated by the Fair Labor Standards Act and the Defendant's failure to pay overtime as required by the California Labor Code constituted unlawful and unfair business practices. These failures to pay overtime also constituted an attempt by the Defendant to gain unfair competitive advantage over other lenders because the Defendant misclassified all its Home Mortgage Consultants as overtime exempt and paid none of them the overtime or premium pay required under the law.
- 17. Accordingly, Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff for restitution of all overtime pay with interest thereon and costs.

PRAYER

Wherefore, the Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- For overtime or premium pay as calculated under the Fair Labor Standards Act plus liquidated damages thereon or, alternatively, interest thereon;
- For payment of overtime wages as calculated under the California Labor Code with interest thereon, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees;
- For restitution of all overtime wages due Plaintiff under the California Unfair Business Practices Act with interest thereon and costs; and

4. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem appropriate. Dated: April 5, 2010 Respectfully submitted, McInerney & Jones DOSTART CLAPP GORDON & COVENEY LLP By: Attorneys for Plaintiff